

## India Post Payments Bank: Posting on Last-Mile Financial Inclusion

---

*Ramanna Shetty, Professor in General Management (Economics), Alliance Ascent College, Alliance University, Bengaluru and Divyashree, Adjunct Professor in General Management (Economics), Alliance Ascent College, Alliance University, Bengaluru, prepared this case solely as a basis for classroom discussion. This case is not intended to serve as an endorsement, source of primary data, or illustration of either effective or ineffective managerial decision-making. Certain names and other identifying details have been disguised to protect confidentiality*

---

### Case Synopsis

India Post Payments Bank (IPPB) was created to address a structural gap in India's financial inclusion architecture: the absence of dependable last-mile access points capable of delivering digital financial services to remote population. While private fintech firms and commercial banks had expanded rapidly, their reach remained uneven, particularly in rural India. IPPB sought to solve this problem by integrating India Post's unparalleled physical network with a digital payments bank license. However, by 2023–24, IPPB faced critical strategic questions around financial sustainability, competitive positioning, and scalability beyond government-backed mandates.

### Industry and Institutional Context

India's journey toward financial inclusion has progressed through distinct phases. Following the nationalization of banks in the late 1960s, the focus was on expanding bank branches and promoting priority sector lending. While this approach significantly increased outreach, formal financial participation among low-income households remained limited. A major shift occurred in the 2010s with the emphasis on digital inclusion, driven by initiatives such as Aadhaar, which provided biometric digital identification, the Jan Dhan Yojana, which enabled access to basic bank accounts, the rapid spread of mobile phones, and the development of the Unified Payments Interface (UPI). Collectively referred to as the "JAM Trinity" by policymakers, these measures improved access to financial services. However, mere access did not guarantee usage, particularly in rural areas and among population with low literacy. The so-called "last mile" problem highlights the challenges at the last point of contact between institutions and users, which include low population density, limited digital skills, irregular income patterns, and distrust of formal banking. Although mobile solutions lowered transaction costs, they often failed to overcome behavioural and trust barriers, making human intermediaries such as bank correspondents and agents essential for effective financial inclusion.

### Payments Banks: A New Institutional Experiment

In 2015, the Reserve Bank of India introduced payments banks, a specialized banking model aimed at enhancing financial access for underserved populations. These banks were permitted to accept customer deposits up to a specified limit and to facilitate payments and remittances, but they were prohibited from engaging in lending or credit creation. The objective was to establish low-risk, high-volume transaction banks capable of reaching segments traditionally excluded from formal finance. Licenses were granted to a range of entities, including telecom operators, fintech companies, and India Post. Despite the innovative approach, the payments bank model faced significant challenges. Revenue opportunities were constrained, fixed operational costs remained high, and profitability depended heavily on transaction volumes. Additionally, regulatory limits on balance-sheet expansion restricted growth. As a result, by 2020, several private payments banks had either exited the market or scaled

down operations, highlighting the difficulties of sustaining a banking model focused solely on deposits and payment services without lending capabilities.

### **Genesis of India Post Payments Bank**

India Post operates one of the world's largest postal networks, with over 164237 post offices, 75 percent located in rural areas. For decades, these offices provided small-value financial services, including savings schemes and money orders, primarily serving low-income households. Recognizing the network's extensive reach and the trust it commanded among rural populations, the Government of India positioned India Post as a natural platform for advancing financial inclusion. In 2016, the India Post Payments Bank (IPPB) was incorporated as a wholly government-owned entity under the Department of Posts, launching nationwide operations in 2018.

IPPB's mandate was distinct from private-sector payments banks. Its mission emphasized achieving financial inclusion by combining technology with human interaction, prioritizing inclusion outcomes over profitability. This approach reflects a fundamental insight: financial inclusion is not only a technological problem but a social one, requiring trust, behavioural engagement, and accessible human interfaces.

### **Business Model and Operational Strategy**

IPPB offers a broad range of services, including savings and current accounts, domestic remittances, Aadhaar-enabled payments, direct benefit transfers (DBT), utility bill payments, and merchant payments via QR codes. Over time, it evolved into a platform model, distributing third-party financial products such as insurance, pension schemes, and mutual funds. Revenue is generated through transaction fees, float income, commissions from third-party products, and fees for delivering government payments. However, the absence of lending constrains profitability, creating a structural tension between scale and revenue generation.

At the core of IPPB's last-mile strategy is the Gramin Dak Sevak (GDS), a cadre of rural postal workers equipped with smartphones and biometric devices. Acting as mobile bankers, GDS staff provide doorstep banking, e-KYC account openings, cash-in and cash-out services, and digital literacy support. In contrast to app-only fintech solutions, this human-centric model leverages familiarity and trust, helping customers navigate digital interfaces and creating a bridge between formal finance and underserved populations.

### **Competitive Landscape**

IPPB operates in a hybrid space between private fintech players and traditional public sector banks. Fintech firms such as Paytm, Airtel Payments Bank focus on urban and semi-urban markets, relying on smartphone interfaces, merchant networks, and high-frequency, low-value transactions. They excel in innovation, speed, and user experience but have struggled to achieve meaningful adoption in rural areas. Public sector banks dominate deposit mobilization and credit delivery but face high operating costs, limited rural outreach, and legacy system inefficiencies. IPPB occupies a middle ground, offering nationwide coverage, government-backed trust, and a human-assisted interface tailored to last-mile users.

### **Performance, Scale, and Strategic Tensions**

By the mid-2020s, IPPB had accumulated tens of millions of account holders and achieved a nationwide presence unmatched by private competitors, particularly in DBT transactions. Operational losses have narrowed, yet long-term sustainability remains a challenge. Increasing per-customer revenue, expanding platform-based income, and improving GDS productivity are critical levers.

The bank faces a strategic tension between inclusion and commercial viability. Aggressive inclusion policies necessitate serving low-balance accounts with limited revenue potential, whereas sustainability requires cross-selling and higher-value services. State ownership offers advantages in terms of policy support, access to government payments, and implicit sovereign trust, but also imposes bureaucratic constraints, political oversight, and limited strategic flexibility.

### **Comparative Insights: Public vs. Private Models**

IPPB exemplifies the trade-offs inherent in state-led financial inclusion. Its advantages include universal reach, high trust, and alignment with welfare objectives, but it is constrained by slower innovation cycles, fiscal dependence, and ambiguous profit incentives. Private-sector models excel in scalability, user experience, and market discipline but tend to favor urban populations, exclude low-margin customers, and depend on venture capital funding. IPPB's hybrid approach, combining a human interface with technology, demonstrates a distinctive strategy to address the last-mile challenge in rural finance. This case invites discussion on how government-backed institutions can balance social objectives with financial sustainability, and how technology and human intermediaries can be combined to achieve scale in underserved markets.

### **The Decision Point**

By 2024–25, India Post Payments Bank (IPPB) stood at a strategic crossroads, forcing policymakers and its leadership to confront fundamental choices about its long-term direction. One key issue was whether IPPB should expand beyond its narrow payments mandate and transform into a comprehensive digital financial platform offering a wider range of services through partnerships. Intricately linked was the question of sustainability: without the ability to lend, the payments bank model faced pressure to generate sufficient revenue, raising doubts about its long-term commercial viability. Another challenge involved redefining the role of human intermediaries, especially postal staff, in a system that was rapidly becoming more digital but still relied on trust and last-mile connectivity in underserved regions. Finally, there was a broader policy debate on whether the government should continue to directly operate a retail financial institution or gradually shift toward a facilitator role. The resolution of these issues would significantly influence both IPPB's future and the trajectory of India's financial inclusion efforts.

***Exhibit 01 Evolution of Financial Inclusions in India***

<b><i>Evolution of Financial Inclusions in India</i></b>	
<b><i>Period</i></b>	<b><i>Institutional /Policy Development</i></b>
<b><i>1969 -1980s</i></b>	<b><i>Bank nationalisation and branch expansion</i></b>
<b><i>1990s</i></b>	<b><i>Financial sector Liberalisation</i></b>
<b><i>Early 2000s</i></b>	<b><i>SHG bank linkage Program</i></b>
<b><i>2006s</i></b>	<b><i>Business Correspondent Model</i></b>
<b><i>2009-2012</i></b>	<b><i>Aadhaar Digital Identity</i></b>
<b><i>2014</i></b>	<b><i>Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana</i></b>
<b><i>2016</i></b>	<b><i>Unified Payments Interface</i></b>
<b><i>2015-18</i></b>	<b><i>Payment bank Licensing</i></b>
<b><i>2018</i></b>	<b><i>India Post payment bank Launched</i></b>
<b><i>2020s</i></b>	<b><i>Platform Based Digital Finance</i></b>

***Exhibit 02 : Comprehensive Products and Services of IPPB***

***1. Banking Services***

***Saving Account***

***Current Account - Fund Transfer***

***Bill Payments***

***Rupay Virtual Debit Card - BHIM - UPI***

***Digital Payments at Post Offices***

***- Sweep -In/Out facility***

***- Merchant Services***

***2. Universal Services***

***- Direct Benefit Transfer***

***- Digital Life Certificate - Aadhar-Mobile***

***Number Update***

***- Child Aadhar Enrolement - Aadhaar enabled***

***Payemnt System (AePS) - Dakpay UPI PSP app - Cash to Bill Payments – PMJJBY***

***3. Wealth Management Services***

***- Life Insurance (Term, Annuity & Endowment)***

***- General Insurance (Motor, Health), Group Accident)***

***- Mutual Funds***

***4. Postal Payment Services***

***- IPPB-POSA Linkage***

***- Digital Payment to Post Office Schemes (PLI/ RPLI, SSA, PPF, RD & LARD***

***5. Loan Referral Services***

***- Home Loan - Vehicle Loan - Personal Loan - Gold Loan - KCC Loan - Loan against Property***

***Sources: Annual Reports IPPB***

**Exhibit 03: Major Business Highlights**

<b>Metrics</b>	<b>FY2020</b>	<b>FY2021</b>	<b>FY2022</b>	<b>FY2023</b>	<b>FY2024</b>	<b>FY2025</b>
<b>Total customers (crores)</b>	2.36	4.31	5.26	6.63	8.82	11.67
<b>Total Deposits (in Rs. crores)</b>	855	2300	3691	6292	11552	19345
<b>Value of Digital Fin Transactions (in Rs.crores)</b>	13520	58709	154367	320579	651759	1224092
<b>Number of Digital Fin Transaction (crores.)</b>		15.91	83.84	162	608	1289
<b>Value of AePS Transactions (in Rs. crores)</b>	873	11733	20939	27443	31158	33856
<b>Total DBT beneficiaries (Crores)</b>	0.34	0.73	1.06	1.56	3.04	5.12
<b>Value of transaction on digital Payment to DoP Saving Scheme (PPF/SSY/RD/ (in Rs. Crores)</b>		2361	5416	9072	13915	19708
<b>No. Of Mobile app Downloads (Crores)</b>	0.37	0.86	1.34	1.99	3.01	4.32
<b>Number of IPPB accounts linked with POSA enabling interoperable banking (lakhs)</b>		21.85	28.68	33.85	35.36	44.34

*Sources: Annual Report IPPB*

**Exhibit 04: Financial Performance**

<i>Details</i>	<i>Financial Year</i>		<i>(Amounts in Crores)</i>	
	<i>2021-22</i>	<i>2022-23</i>	<i>2023-24</i>	<i>2024-25</i>
<i>Financial Performance</i>				
<i>Total deposits</i>	<i>3691.72</i>	<i>6292.36</i>	<i>11552.19</i>	<i>19345.23</i>
<i>Total assets/liabilities</i>	<i>4501.11</i>	<i>7620.72</i>	<i>13662.76</i>	<i>22907.27</i>
<i>Total Income</i>	<i>461.2</i>	<i>766.15</i>	<i>1265.29</i>	<i>2148.12</i>
<i>Total expenditure</i>	<i>620.82</i>	<i>745.99</i>	<i>1231.04</i>	<i>2014.26</i>
<i>Net Profit/Net Loss for the Year</i>	<i>-159.62</i>	<i>20.16</i>	<i>34.23</i>	<i>133.85</i>
<i>Net Worth</i>	<i>277.76</i>	<i>506.11</i>	<i>959.57</i>	<i>1384.12</i>
<i>Share Holding of Government of India (%)</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>	<i>100%</i>
<i>Capital adequacy Ratio (%)</i>	<i>40.83</i>	<i>56.96</i>	<i>54.86</i>	<i>49.26</i>
<i>Tier capital ratio (%)</i>	<i>48.85</i>	<i>56.7</i>	<i>54.64</i>	<i>48.85</i>
<i>Sources: Annual Reports IPPB</i>				

**Exhibit 06: Footprints of growth & transformation**

<i>Annual Report of 2024-25</i>	
<i>Account Opened at Doorstep</i>	<i>96%</i>
<i>Customers from Rural Areas</i>	<i>77%</i>
<i>Aadhaar Enabled Accounts</i>	<i>65%</i>
<i>Women account Holder Receive DBT</i>	<i>60%</i>
<i>Women Account Holder</i>	<i>48%</i>
<i>Rupay Virtual Debt Card Issued</i>	<i>2.34Cr.</i>
<i>Lives Insured (Lakhs)</i>	<i>75.38</i>
<i>Digital certificates Issued (Lakhs)</i>	<i>24.77</i>
<i>PMJJBY Enrolled (Lakhs)</i>	<i>3.34</i>
<i>Sources: Annual Reports IPPB</i>	

**Exhibit 07: IPPB Ltd Balance Sheet as at 31st March 2025**

			<i>(in '000s)</i>
--	--	--	-------------------

**International Case Conference (ICC) 2026**

Theme: Contemporary Context in Innovation, Inclusion, and Impact.

<i>Capital / Liabilities</i>	<i>Schedule</i>	<i>31st March 2025</i>	<i>31st March 2024</i>
<i>Capital</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>23550000</i>	<i>21050000</i>
<i>Reserves and Surplus</i>	<i>2</i>	<i>-8159693</i>	<i>9277856</i>
<i>Deposits</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>193452366</i>	<i>115521920</i>
<i>Borrowings</i>	<i>4</i>	<i>9610000</i>	
<i>Other Liabilities and Provisions</i>	<i>5</i>	<i>10620097</i>	<i>9333564</i>
	<i>Total</i>	<i>229072770</i>	<i>136627628</i>
<i>Assets</i>			
<i>Cash and balances with RBI</i>	<i>6</i>	<i>11156047</i>	<i>7265033</i>
<i>Balance with bank and money at call and SN</i>	<i>7</i>	<i>43385566</i>	<i>29949675</i>
<i>Investments</i>	<i>8</i>	<i>165367934</i>	<i>88394493</i>
<i>Advances</i>	<i>9</i>		
<i>Fixed Assets</i>	<i>10</i>	<i>3172512</i>	<i>3692152</i>
<i>Other Assets</i>	<i>11</i>	<i>5990711</i>	<i>7326275</i>
	<i>Total</i>	<i>229072770</i>	<i>136627628</i>
<i>Contingent Liabilities</i>	<i>12</i>	<i>2681700</i>	<i>642974</i>
<i>Bills for Collection</i>			
<i>Significant Accounting Policy</i>	<i>17</i>		
<i>Notes to Financial Statements</i>	<i>18</i>		
<i>Sources: Annual Reports IPPB</i>			

**Exhibit 08: Competitive Landscape—India Post Payments Bank vs. FinTech and Public Sector Banks**

<b>Dimension</b>	<b>India Post Payments Bank (IPPB)</b>	<b>Private Fintech / Payments Banks</b>	<b>Public Sector Banks (PSBs)</b>
<b>Ownership &amp; Governance</b>	<i>Wholly owned by Government of India; operates under Department of Posts</i>	<i>Privately owned; venture-backed or corporate-sponsored</i>	<i>Majority government-owned; regulated commercial banks</i>
<b>Primary Strategic Objective</b>	<i>Financial inclusion and last-mile access; policy-aligned mandate</i>	<i>Growth, user acquisition, monetization, and valuation</i>	<i>Deposit mobilization, credit delivery, and policy lending</i>
<b>Geographic Reach</b>	<i>Nationwide presence with 164237 post offices; 75% in rural areas</i>	<i>Concentrated in urban and semi-urban markets</i>	<i>Broad national presence; weaker penetration in remote rural areas</i>
<b>Last-Mile Delivery Model</b>	<i>Human-assisted, doorstep banking via Gramin Dak Sevaks (GDS)</i>	<i>App-based, merchant-driven, largely self-service</i>	<i>Branch-based and business correspondent model</i>
<b>Customer Trust &amp; Familiarity</b>	<i>High trust due to legacy of India Post and government backing</i>	<i>Moderate; strong brand recall in cities, weaker in rural areas</i>	<i>High institutional trust but perceived as bureaucratic</i>
<b>Technology &amp; Innovation Speed</b>	<i>Moderate; constrained by public-sector processes</i>	<i>High; rapid product iteration and innovation</i>	<i>Low to moderate; legacy IT systems limit agility</i>
<b>Product Scope</b>	<i>Payments, deposits, DBT, third-party insurance/pension, loan referrals</i>	<i>Payments, wallets, merchant services, limited financial products</i>	<i>Full-service banking including deposits, loans, trade finance</i>
<b>Lending Capability</b>	<i>Not permitted (payments bank license)</i>	<i>Not permitted (payments banks); NBFC partners for credit</i>	<i>Core activity; major source of revenue</i>
<b>Revenue Model</b>	<i>Transaction fees, float income,</i>	<i>Transaction fees, merchant discount</i>	<i>Net interest income, fees, cross-selling</i>

## International Case Conference (ICC) 2026

Theme: Contemporary Context in Innovation, Inclusion, and Impact.

	<i>commissions, government service fees</i>	<i>rates, data monetization</i>	
<b>Cost Structure</b>	<i>Lower branch costs but high human- interface operating expenses</i>	<i>Asset-light, scalable, technology-driven</i>	<i>High fixed costs due to branches and staffing</i>
<b>Customer Economics</b>	<i>Large number of low- balance, low-revenue accounts</i>	<i>Higher ARPU in urban users and merchants</i>	<i>Mixed; higher-value customers subsidize inclusion</i>
<b>Regulatory Constraints</b>	<i>High; dual oversight (RBI and government)</i>	<i>Moderate; RBI- regulated with commercial flexibility</i>	<i>High; RBI regulation and government policy mandates</i>
<b>Scalability Model</b>	<i>Coverage-led scale; productivity constrained by human agents</i>	<i>Volume-led scale; strong network effects</i>	<i>Balance-sheet-led scale constrained by capital</i>
<b>Key Strengths</b>	<i>Universal reach, trust, human interface, DBT dominance</i>	<i>Innovation, speed, user experience, merchant ecosystem</i>	<i>Credit expertise, balance-sheet strength, customer depth</i>
<b>Key Limitations</b>	<i>Limited revenue upside, bureaucratic rigidity, no lending</i>	<i>Rural adoption challenges, thin margins</i>	<i>High costs, slow innovation, weak doorstep engagement</i>